

**REMARKS**

Claims 2; 8-13, 16, 17, 23-28, and 34-39 are all the claims pending in the present application, claims 1, 3-7, 14, 15, 18-22, and 29-33 having been canceled as indicated herein. In summary, the Examiner maintains the same prior art rejections and the previous rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Specifically, claims 1, 14, and 15 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite. Claims 1-7 and 14-16 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Molno (U.S. Patent Appln. Pub. No. 2001/0030949). Claims 8-13, 23-28 and 34-39 are remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Molno in view of Hautamaki (U.S. Patent Appln. Publication No. 2001/0038614), further in view of Ramjee (U.S. Patent No. 6,842,462).

*§112, second paragraph, Rejections - Claims 1, 14, and 15*

Claims 1, 14, and 15 are canceled as indicated herein without prejudice or disclaimer.

*§102(e) Rejections (Molno) - Claims 1-7 and 14-16*

With respect to independent claim 2, Applicants submit that Molno does not disclose or suggest at least, “said different transfer modes including the GPRS (General Packet Radio Service) and EGPRS (Enhanced General Packet Radio Service) modes,” and “for the requirements of signaling data transfer, said mobile station using a type of packet set mode resource request corresponding to EGPRS mode, including cause data specifying signaling data transfer requirements,” as recited in amended claim 2.

With respect to independent claim 16, Applicants submit that Molno does not disclose or suggest at least, “means for using, in accordance with its requirements, one of different types of packet mode resource requests corresponding to different transfer modes that it supports, said different transfer modes including the GPRS (General Packet Radio Service) and EGPRS

(Enhanced General Packet Radio Service) modes,” and “means for using, for the requirements of signaling data transfer, a type of packet mode resource request corresponding to the EGPRS mode, including cause data specifying signaling data transfer requirements,” as recited in amended claim 16.

Applicants submit that independent claim 17 is patentable at least based on reasons similar to those set forth above with respect to claim 16.

Claims 1, 3-7, 14, and 15 are canceled as indicated herein without prejudice or disclaimer.

§ 103(a) Rejections (Molno / Hautamaki / Ramjee) - Claims 8-13, 23-28, and 34-39

Independent claims 8, 11, and 12 now incorporate the features of previously pending claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants maintain the arguments that were previously submitted with respect to claim 1, as the secondary references do not make up for the deficiencies of Molno.

Applicants submit that dependent claims 9 and 10 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency from independent claim 8.

Applicants submit that dependent claims 23-28 and 34-39 are patentable at least by virtue of their respective dependencies. Neither Hautamaki nor Ramjee makes up for the deficiencies of Molno.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

/ Diallo T. Crenshaw /  
Diallo T. Crenshaw  
Registration No. 52,778

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: January 24, 2008